

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,393	03/28/2001	Kevin Watts	41EB-1015	3270
23465	7590 04/28/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. BEULICK C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP			ALIMENTI, SUSAN C	
	OPOLITAN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2600		3644		
ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/681,393	WATTS ET AL.	_
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\
	Susan C. Alimenti	3644	7
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	p ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	January 2004.		
, — •	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-44 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 14-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cansler et al. (US 6,725,257).

Regarding claims 1-12 and 14-16, Cansler et al. (hereinafter Cansler) discloses a method for configuring a customizable product (Cansler, col.3, lns.34-35) except the type of product is not expressly claimed. Cansler's method comprises utilizing a server and at least one user interface/computer connected via a network wherein the user may input desired product attributes, i.e. in the case of configuring a vehicle year, make, model, and/or style are input to create a base configuration and then the server matches this request with pre-stored information (Cansler, col.4, lns.31-42). The standard equipment associated with the selected base configuration is established by the server and the user is then asked to chose optional equipment and/or accessories. The final product is orderable only if all selected components are compatible (Cansler, col.3, lns.58-65). Upon discovering incompatibility between selected options, the server automatically corrects the discrepancy and notifies the user of this conflict, resolution, and then guides the user to other options available at that time (Cansler, col.9, lns.8-26 & Figure 8). If the user manages to configure a desirable product and would like to purchase said product, the user can opt to get a quote of the finished product (Cansler, col.8, lns.6-9). The need of the user

Application/Control Number: 09/681,393

Art Unit: 3644

is considered to be assessed based upon the options and accessories chosen at step 32 (Cansler, Figure 1). Even though Cansler uses the example of the configuration of a vehicle it is clear that this method can be applied to configuring any type of product, including but not limited to and electrical distribution device.

The configuration is accomplished through a visual interface or computer, wherein the server provides multiple web pages. Each web page has links and tabs allowing the user to navigate amongst pages and to make decisions easily (Cansler, cols.5-6).

In the case of utilizing Cansler's device for another product it is obvious that parameters, options, accessories, etc. would be different and specific to those of the specific product being sold. For example, regarding claims 41-44 providing the option of "indoor" or "outdoor" use would be obvious when configuring a product such as a component for electrical distribution since such a product can be used both environment and would have to be configured as such. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Cansler's device to configure an electrical device since such and intended use would not alter the scope of the invention and is not considered to hold patentable weight.

Regarding the system of claims 17-29, the computer of claims 30-35 and the apparatus of claims 36-40, Cansler's device is considered to comprise the claimed limitations substantially as explained above.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 14-44 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,393

Art Unit: 3644

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan C. Alimenti whose telephone number is 703-306-0360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T. Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/681,393

Art Unit: 3644

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**SCA** 

CHARLES T. JORDAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600